

The Origin and Contribution of Cancer-Associated Fibroblasts in **Colorectal Carcinogenesis**

Q3

Hiroki Kobayashi,^{1,2,3,4,*} **Krystyna A. Gieniec**,^{1,2,*} **Tamsin R. M. Lannagan**,^{1,2,*} Tongtong Wang,^{1,2} Naoya Asai,⁵ Yasuyuki Mizutani,^{3,6} Tadashi Iida,^{3,6} Ryota Ando,³ Elaine M. Thomas,^{1,2} Akihiro Sakai,³ Nobumi Suzuki,^{1,2,7} Mari Ichinose,^{1,2} Josephine A. Wright,² Laura Vrbanac,^{1,2} Jia Q. Ng,^{1,2} Jarrad Goyne,^{1,2} Georgette Radford,^{1,2} Matthew J. Lawrence,⁸ Tarik Sammour,^{1,2,8} Yoku Hayakawa,⁷ Sonja Klebe,⁹ Alice E. Shin,¹⁰ Samuel Asfaha,¹¹ Mark L. Bettington,^{12,13,14} Florian Rieder,^{15,16} Nicholas Arpaia,^{17,18} Tal Danino,^{18,19} Lisa M. Butler,^{1,2} Alastair D. Burt,^{1,20} Simon J. Leedham,²¹ Anil K. Rustgi,¹⁸ Siddhartha Mukherjee,²² Masahide Takahashi,^{3,4,23} Timothy C. Wang,²² Atsushi Enomoto,³ Susan L. Woods,^{1,2} and Daniel L. Worthley^{2,24}

¹Adelaide Medical School, University of Adelaide, Adelaide, South Australia, Australia; ²South Australian Health and Medical Research Institute (SAHMRI), Adelaide, South Australia, Australia; ³Department of Pathology, Nagoya University Graduate School of Medicine, Nagoya, Aichi, Japan; ⁴Division of Molecular Pathology, Center for Neurological Disease and Cancer, Nagoya University Graduate School of Medicine, Nagoya, Aichi, Japan; ⁵Department of Molecular Pathology, Graduate School of Medicine, Fujita Health University, Toyoake, Aichi, Japan; ⁶Department of Gastroenterology and Hepatology, Nagoya University Graduate School of Medicine, Nagoya, Aichi, Japan; ⁷Department of Gastroenterology, Graduate School of Medicine, University of Tokyo, Tokyo, Japan; ⁸Colorectal Unit, Department of Surgery, Royal Adelaide Hospital, Adelaide, South Australia, Australia; ⁹Department of Anatomical Pathology, Flinders Medical Centre, Bedford Park, Adelaide, South Australia, Australia; ¹⁰Pathology and Laboratory Medicine, Schulich School of Medicine and Dentistry, University of Western Ontario, London, Ontario, Canada; ¹¹Department of Medicine, University of Western Ontario, London, Ontario, Canada; ¹²Envoi Specialist Pathologists, Kelvin Grove, Queensland, Australia; ¹³Faculty of Medicine, University of Queensland, Herston, Queensland, Australia; ¹⁴QIMR Berghofer Medical Research Institute, Herston, Queensland, Australia; ¹⁵Department of Gastroenterology, Hepatology, and Nutrition, Digestive Diseases and Surgery Institute, Cleveland Clinic Foundation, Cleveland, Ohio, USA; ¹⁶Department of Inflammation and Immunity, Lerner Research Institute, Cleveland Clinic Foundation, Cleveland, Ohio, USA; ¹⁷Department of Microbiology and Immunology, Vagelos College of Physicians and Surgeons, Columbia University, New York, New York, USA; ¹⁸Herbert Irving Comprehensive Cancer Center, Columbia University, New York, New York, USA; ¹⁹Department of Biomedical Engineering, Columbia University, New York, New York, New York, USA; ¹⁹Department of Biomedical Engineering, Columbia University, New York, New York, USA; ²⁰Translational and Clinical Research Institute, Newcastle University, Newcastle upon Tyne, United Kingdom; ²¹Intestinal Stem Cell Biology Lab, Wellcome Trust Centre Human Genetics, University of Oxford, Oxford, United Kingdom; ²²Department of Medicine and Irving Cancer Research Center, Columbia University, New York, New York, USA; ²³International Center for Cell and Gene Therapy, Fujita Health University, Toyoake, Aichi, Japan; and ²⁴GastroIntestinal Endoscopy, Lutwyche, Queensland, Australia



2 Kobayashi et al

BACKGROUND AND AIMS: Cancer-associated fibroblasts 121 (CAFs) play an important role in colorectal cancer (CRC) pro-122 gression and predict poor prognosis in CRC patients. However, 123 the cellular origins of CAFs remain unknown, making it chal-124 lenging to therapeutically target these cells. Here, we aimed to 125 identify the origins and contribution of colorectal CAFs asso-126 ciated with poor prognosis. METHODS: To elucidate CAF ori-127 gins, we used a colitis-associated CRC mouse model in 5 128 different fate-mapping mouse lines with 5-129 bromodeoxyuridine dosing. RNA sequencing of fluorescence-130 activated cell sorting-purified CRC CAFs was performed to 131 identify a potential therapeutic target in CAFs. To examine the 132 prognostic significance of the stromal target, CRC patient RNA 133 sequencing data and tissue microarray were used. CRC organoids were injected into the colons of knockout mice to assess 134 the mechanism by which the stromal gene contributes to 135 colorectal tumorigenesis. RESULTS: Our lineage-tracing 136 studies revealed that in CRC, many ACTA2⁺ CAFs emerge 137 through proliferation from intestinal pericryptal leptin re-138 ceptor (*Lepr*)⁺ cells. These *Lepr*-lineage CAFs, in turn, express 139 melanoma cell adhesion molecule (MCAM), a CRC stroma-140 specific marker that we identified with the use of RNA 141 sequencing. High MCAM expression induced by transforming 142 growth factor β was inversely associated with patient survival 143 in human CRC. In mice, stromal Mcam knockout attenuated 144 orthotopically injected colorectal tumoroid growth and 145 improved survival through decreased tumor-associated 146 macrophage recruitment. Mechanistically, fibroblast MCAM interacted with interleukin-1 receptor 1 to augment nuclear factor κ B-IL34/CCL8 signaling that promotes macrophage chemotaxis. CONCLUSIONS: In colorectal carcinogenesis, pericryptal Lepr-lineage cells proliferate to generate MCAM⁺ CAFs that shape the tumor-promoting immune microenvironment. Preventing the expansion/differentiation of Leprlineage CAFs or inhibiting MCAM activity could be effective therapeutic approaches for CRC.

Keywords: Colorectal Cancer; Tumor Microenvironment; Alpha-Smooth Muscle Actin (α SMA); CD146.

156

157

158

159

160

161

162

163

164

165

166

167

168

169

170

171

172

173

174

175

176

177

178

179

180

olorectal cancer (CRC) is a leading cause of cancer-▲ related death. Cancer-associated fibroblasts (CAFs) are histologically prominent and biologically important in CRC initiation, progression, and metastasis.¹ CAFs contribute to carcinogenesis via secretion of growth factors, cytokines, pro-angiogenic factors, and extracellular matrix.¹ Recent studies using immunophenotyping and single-cell RNA sequencing (scRNA-seq) have revealed that CAFs contain heterogeneous subpopulations.² It is now apparent that distinct CAF populations have different consequences on cancer growth. Some CAFs promote while others retard cancer growth.³ The cellular origins of CAFs, whether promoting or retarding, are poorly understood.¹ Regarding the development and consequences of CAFs on CRC growth, there remain at least 3 unresolved questions. First, are CAFs newly generated cells arising through proliferation, or simply old cells acquiring a new phenotype? Second, if any of the CAFs emerge through proliferation, what is their cellular origin? And third, what CAF-derived factors

Gastroenterology Vol. ■, No. ■

240

WHAT YOU NEED TO KNOW BACKGROUND AND CONTEXT

Cancer-associated fibroblasts (CAFs) regulate colorectal cancer (CRC) progression. However, the cellular origin of CAFs and how specific CAF lineages contribute to CRC progression are unknown.

NEW FINDINGS

Colonic pericryptal leptin receptor (*LepR*)–lineage cells are a major source of MCAM⁺ and ACTA2⁺ CAFs. These MCAM⁺ CAFs accelerate CRC progression via nuclear factor κ B–IL34/CCL8–mediated tumor-associated macrophage recruitment.

LIMITATIONS

This study was performed using mouse models and human tissue samples. Future studies are necessary to assess the therapeutic efficacy of targeting *LEPR*-lineage MCAM⁺ CAFs in patients with CRC.

IMPACT

Inhibiting proliferation/differentiation of $LEPR^+$ cells to MCAM⁺ CAFs or targeting mature MCAM⁺ CAFs in established cancer are novel potential therapeutic strategies to treat CRC.

promote cancer progression, and could those be targeted with novel stromal therapies?

Theoretically, CAFs could arise through at least four nonmutually exclusive mechanisms: proliferation, activation, transdifferentiation, and recruitment.¹ Although studies using autochthonous mouse models of cancers have indicated that some CAFs undergo proliferation,^{4,5} the relative contribution of proliferating and nonproliferating CAFs to the entire pool remains unclear. Induced by factors such as transforming growth factor (TGF) β_{1}^{6} quiescent fibroblasts might undergo phenotypic conversion into activated CAFs: an old cell, but with a new mask (ie, activation). Third, several fate-mapping studies have indicated that nonfibroblast lineage cells, such as epithelial cells, could transdifferentiate into CAFs through epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition (ie, transdifferentiation).⁷ Finally, bone marrow transplantation experiments have indicated that about 20% of ACTA2⁺ (α smooth muscle actin) CAFs were recruited from the bone marrow in a mouse model of gastric cancer (ie, recruitment).⁸ Human studies have also suggested that bone marrow contribution can be detected in CAFs in several

* These authors contributed equally.

Abbreviations used in this paper: ACTA2, α -smooth muscle actin; AOM, azoxymethane; BrdU, 5-bromodeoxyuridine; CAF, cancer-associated fibroblast; CMS, consensus molecular subtype; CRC, colorectal cancer; DSS, dextran sodium sulfate; FACS, fluorescence-activated cell sorting; Lepr, leptin receptor; MCAM, melanoma cell adhesion molecule; RFP, red fluorescent protein; scRNA-seq, single-cell RNA-sequencing; TAM, tumor-associated macrophage; TCGA, The Cancer Genome Atlas; TGF- β , transforming growth factor β .

© 2021 by the AGA Institute 0016-5085/\$36.00 https://doi.org/10.1053/j.gastro.2021.11.037

250

251

252

253

254

255

256

257

273

274

275

276

277

278

279

280

281

282

283

284

285

286

287

288

289

301

302

303

neoplasias, including colorectal.⁹ Others, however, have 241 suggested that local precursors were a predominant 242 contributor to ACTA2⁺ CAFs.¹⁰ Thus, the origin of CAFs 243 remains uncertain. In contrast to fibrosis in organs such as 244 the liver, kidney, and skin, in which the origins of myofi-245 broblasts have been extensively investigated,¹¹ to our 246 knowledge, no previous CAF studies have comprehensively 247 performed lineage-tracing experiments to track the 248 aforementioned 4 possible CAF sources. 249

Leptin receptor (*Lepr*) is a well established marker for perivascular mesenchymal cells, which support bone marrow hematopoietic stem cell (HSC) maintenance.¹² Previous fate-mapping studies have demonstrated that *Lepr*-expressing cells give rise to bone and adipocytes formed in the adult normal bone marrow¹³ as well as myofibroblasts in primary myelofibrosis.¹⁴ However, the significance of *Lepr*-lineage cells in the development of CAFs is unknown.

258 Similar to Lepr, melanoma cell adhesion molecule 259 (MCAM; also known as CD146 and MUC18) is highly 260 expressed by perivascular stromal cells in the bone marrow 261 and has been suggested to be important in the HSC niche.¹⁵ 262 MCAM is also expressed by endothelial cells, melanoma 263 cells, pericytes, and CAFs.^{16,17} MCAM expressed in endo-264 thelial and melanoma cells contributes to cancer progres-265 sion by promoting cancer cell growth, angiogenesis, and 266 metastasis.^{17,18} Recently, scRNA-seq analyses have revealed 267 that MCAM defines a subset of pericyte-like CAFs that 268 secrete tumor-promoting immunomodulatory cytokines in 269 human cholangiocarcinoma and breast cancer.^{19,20} The 270 biological role of MCAM⁺ CAFs, however, has been poorly 271 defined in CRC. 272

This study, for the first time, comprehensively addresses the cellular origins, dynamics, and consequences of specific CAFs in CRC. Using lineage tracing, we identify intestinal pericryptal *Lepr*-lineage cells as a major source of proliferating CAFs in a mouse model of CRC. Next, by combining fluorescence-activated cell sorting (FACS), RNAseq, and immunohistochemistry, we show these CAFs express MCAM. We investigate the clinical significance of MCAM expression with the use of RNA-seq data and tissue microarray from human CRC samples. Finally, we uncover the mechanism of stromal MCAM action in CRC with the use of newly generated *Mcam*-null mice and mouse colonoscopy.

Materials and Methods

Statistical Analysis

290 Comparison of 2 groups was performed using 2-tailed unpaired t tests or Mann-Whitney U tests. For multiple compari-291 sons, we used analysis of variance or Kruskal-Wallis test. For 292 survival analyses, Kaplan-Meier survival estimation with a log-293 rank (Mantel-Cox) test was performed. Statistical analyses were 294 conducted with the use of GraphPad Prism 8.00 or SPSS Sta-295 tistics 25. *P* values of <0.05 were considered to be statistically 296 significant. 297

For all other materials and methods, see the Supplementary Materials.

Results

Desmoplasia Is Increased During Colorectal Carcinogenesis in Humans and Mice

To explore whether desmoplasia is increased during colorectal carcinogenesis and to identify a suitable mouse model to investigate this, we performed immunohistochemistry for ACTA2, a well established marker for CAFs, in human colorectal samples. The ratio of ACTA2⁺ fibroblasts in the total stromal cells increased from normal to lowgrade adenoma to high-grade adenoma, and ultimately adenocarcinoma (Figure 1A and B). The elevated ACTA2 expression level during colorectal carcinogenesis was corroborated by an analysis of expression microarray data from human colorectal tissues (Supplementary Figure 1A). Analyses of scRNA-seq data from human CRC tissues² also demonstrated that ACTA2 expression is increased in CAFs compared with normal fibroblasts, with the highest ACTA2 transcripts observed in pericytes among various CAF subpopulations (Figure 1C; Supplementary Figure 1B and C).

Next, we investigated the prognostic significance of *ACTA2* expression in The Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA) data. High *ACTA2* expression was inversely associated with overall survival in patients with CRC (Figure 1*D*). High *ACTA2* expression, as well as high expression of *FAP*, an activated fibroblast marker,¹ was consistently associated with poor prognosis across multiple expression datasets from CRC patients (Supplementary Figure 2). The highest *ACTA2* expression was observed in the poor-prognosis stroma-rich molecular subtype of CRC (consensus molecular subtype [CMS] 4)²¹ (Figure 1*E*).

We then sought to explore whether ACTA2⁺ fibroblasts are similarly increased in mouse models of CRC. To this end, we performed ACTA2 immunohistochemistry with the use of tumors from the azoxymethane (AOM)/dextran sulfate sodium (DSS) (Figure 1F and G) and $Apc^{Min/+}$ mouse models. In line with a previous study,²² ACTA2 expression was significantly elevated in the stroma of AOM/DSS tumors compared with the adjacent normal mucosa (Figure 1H and *I*). Similarly, small intestinal tumors from $Apc^{Min/+}$ mice showed an increase in stromal ACTA2 expression compared with the adjacent normal tissue, but to a lesser extent than the AOM/DSS mouse model (Supplementary Figure 3). Taken together, these data suggest that ACTA2⁺ fibroblast number increases throughout colorectal carcinogenesis in humans, and this is recapitulated in the AOM/DSS mouse model of CRC.

A Subpopulation of CRC CAFs Arises Through Proliferation in Humans and Mice

We next addressed the question of whether CAFs emerge through cell division or simply increase ACTA2

323

324

325

326

327

328

341

342

343

344

299 300



Gastroenterology Vol. ■, No. ■



expression in existing cells. Co-staining for ACTA2 and Ki67 with the use of human colorectal samples revealed that the percentage of ACTA2 and Ki67 double-positive cells (ie, proliferating ACTA2⁺ CAFs) was increased in high-grade adenoma and adenocarcinoma compared with normal colorectal mucosa, with about 10% of ACTA2⁺ CAFs marked by Ki67 in adenocarcinoma (Figure 2A and B). Analysis of scRNA-seq data from human CRC and normal mucosa² confirmed that a subcluster of ACTA2⁺ CAFs expressed MKI67, and this co-expressing population was not found in fibroblasts from the normal mucosa (Supplementary Figure 4). These data suggest that human CRC CAFs un-dergo mitosis during malignant progression.

Ki67 only temporarily marks actively cycling cells, so our analysis of proliferation of human CRC CAFs may un-derestimate CAFs that divided at an earlier time point. To capture the entire population of CAFs that underwent proliferation during carcinogenesis, we took advantage of continuous 5-bromodeoxyuridine (BrdU) labeling in the AOM/DSS mouse model. After the last course of DSS/water treatment (5-day DSS treatment followed by a 16-day re-covery period with normal drinking water), BrdU dosing commenced at the onset of observable tumors (Figure 2*C*). The ratio of ACTA2⁺ fibroblasts that incorporated BrdU was significantly elevated in AOM/DSS tumors compared with the adjacent nonneoplastic colon, with approximately 75% of ACTA2⁺ fibroblasts in AOM/DSS tumors marked by BrdU (Figure 2D and E; Supplementary Figure 5). Colonoscopic and microscopic evaluation of AOM/DSS tumors confirmed the absence of excavated ulcers or severe inflammation at the time point when BrdU labeling started (Figure 2C; Supplementary Figure 6). These data indicate that ACTA2⁺ fibroblasts have divided during AOM/DSS tumorigenesis, after DSS-induced acute colitis subsided. Contrasting with the BrdU incorporation ratio, the ratio of actively prolifer-ating fibroblasts (Ki67⁺ACTA2⁺ fibroblasts) in the total pool of ACTA2⁺ fibroblasts was only about 1.5% in AOM/ DSS tumors and was not significantly different from the ratio of proliferating fibroblasts in the normal mouse colorectal mucosa (Figure 2D and E). Collectively, our data suggest that, in AOM/DSS tumors, the majority of ACTA2⁺ fibroblasts at humane end point were in quiescent G0 phase as evaluated by Ki67 negativity, but approximately three-fourths of the fibroblasts had undergone cell division and

incorporated BrdU during colitis-associated tumorigenesis after the last DSS/recovery cycle.

Lepr-Lineage Stromal Cells Are a Major Contributor to the Proliferating Fibroblasts in AOM/DSS CRC

We next sought to establish the cellular origin of the proliferating fibroblasts in AOM/DSS tumors by using a lineage-tracing strategy. We selected transgenic mouse lines that 1) identified putative colorectal mesenchymal stemprogenitor cells (*Lepr*-Cre; Rosa26-LSL-tdtomato,¹² *Grem1*-CreERT2; Rosa26-LSL-ZsGreen²³ and *Islr*-CreERT2; Rosa26-LSL-tdtomato³), 2) labeled epithelium (*Krt19*-Cre; Rosa26-mt/mG), or 3) marked bone marrow–derived cells through a combination of bone marrow from *Acta2*-red fluorescent protein (RFP) mouse transplanted into non-RFP recipients (Figure 3). These fate-mapping experiments were coupled with BrdU labeling beginning at the onset of observable tumors after the last DSS/recovery cycle (Figure 2*C*). Tamoxifen was administered to the inducible Cre lines at postnatal day 6.

Immunofluorescence for EPCAM, a pan-epithelial cell marker, showed that all *Lepr-*, *Grem1-*, and *Islr*-lineage cells were observed only within the EPCAM⁻ stroma, validating their mesenchymal identity (Figure 3*A*). In AOM/DSS tumors, approximately one-half of ACTA2⁺ fibroblasts and 75% of proliferating BrdU⁺ACTA2⁺ fibroblasts were *Lepr*-lineage-positive, with a smaller proportion of ACTA2⁺ fibroblasts derived from the *Grem1*-lineage and *Islr*-lineage (Figure 3*A* and *C-E*). *Lepr*-lineage cells represented about 47.1% and 17.4% of the total PDGFRA⁺ fibroblasts in the AOM/DSS tumors and normal colons, respectively (Supplementary Figure 7). Together, these results suggest that *Lepr*-lineage stromal cells are a major source of proliferating ACTA2⁺ fibroblasts during AOM/DSS carcinogenesis.

Lepr-Lineage Cells Contribute to ACTA2⁺ Proliferating CAFs in a CRC Organoid Transplantation Model

We next asked whether *Lepr*-lineage cells also give rise to proliferating ACTA2⁺ CAFs in a distinct model of CRC. To this end, we colonoscopically injected $Apc^{\Delta/\Delta}$, $Kras^{G12D/\Delta}$, $Trp53^{\Delta/\Delta}$ mouse CRC organoids (hereafter termed AKP tumoroids) into

Figure 1. ACTA2 expression is increased during colorectal carcinogenesis in humans and mice. (A, B) Immunohistochemistry (IHC) for ACTA2 in human colorectal samples. (A) Representative pictures. (B) ACTA2 positivity in total stromal cells (visualized by hematoxylin counterstaining). Three HPFs (×400)/patient, 4–5 patients each. (C) Violin plots depict ACTA2 transcripts in normal fibroblasts (n = 2053 cells) and CRC CAFs (n = 1854 cells) assessed by means of single-cell RNA sequencing (scRNA-seq) from human colorectal tissues. (D) Kaplan-Meier survival curves in The Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA) data set. (E) Violin plots showing ACTA2 expression level in 4 consensus molecular subtypes (CMSs). n = 76, 220, 72, and 143 patients (CMS1-4). (F) Scheme for the experimental course of azoxymethane (AOM)/dextran sulfate sodium (DSS)-induced colorectal carci-nogenesis. (G) Representative endoscopic images of the normal colon mucosa and AOM/DSS tumors. T, tumor. (H, I) Immunohistochemistry for ACTA2 in the normal mucosa and AOM/DSS tumors. (H) Representative pictures. (I) ACTA2 pos-itivity in total stromal cells. 3 HPFs/mouse, 3 mice each. One-way analysis of variance followed by Tukey's post hoc multiple comparison test (B), Wilcoxon rank-sum test (C), log-rank test (D), Kruskal-Wallis test followed by Dunn's multiple comparisons test (E), and 2-tailed unpaired Student t test (I): ****P < 0.0001; *P = 0.0451. Scale bars, 50 μ m. Box plots have whiskers Q1 of maximum and minimum values; the boxes represent interquartile range and median. In violin plots, solid and dotted black lines denote median and quartiles, respectively. ACTA2, a-smooth muscle actin; AOM, azoxymethane; CAF, cancer-associated fibroblast ; CRC, colorectal cancer; DSS, dextran sulfate sodium; HPF, high-power field.



Gastroenterology Vol. ■, No. ■



2021

781

782

783

784

785

786

787

788

789

790

791

792

793

794

795

796

797

798

799

800

801

802

803

804

805

806

807

808

809

810

811

821

822

823

824

825

826

827

828

829

830

831

832

833

834

835

836

837

838

839

840

the colons of *Lepr*-Cre; Rosa26-LSL-tdtomato mice (Supplementary Figures 8 and 9*A*). Continuous BrdU dosing commenced 1 day after tumoroid transplantation. In this model, approximately 72% of ACTA2⁺ CAFs underwent proliferation as assessed by BrdU positivity (Supplementary Figure 9*B* and *C*). Similarly to our findings with the AOM/ DSS model, *Lepr*-lineage cells were a major contributor to BrdU⁺ACTA2⁺ CAFs in this model, with 53% of the proliferating CAFs derived from *Lepr* lineage (Supplementary Figure 9*B*-*E*). Our *Lepr*-lineage tracing data from colitisassociated and sporadic CRC models suggest that the majority of proliferating ACTA2⁺ CAFs in CRC originate from *Lepr*lineage cells.

Neither Epithelium nor Bone Marrow Recruitment Contributed to ACTA2⁺ CAFs in the AOM/DSS Mouse Model of CRC

We explored whether colonic epithelial cells could undergo epithelial-mesenchymal transition into colorectal CAFs. For this purpose, we used constitutive *Krt19*-Cre; Rosa26-mt/mG mice to track the fate of *Krt19*-lineage colonic epithelial cells. All colonic cells with epithelial morphology were marked after reporter recombination by Cre recombinase driven by the *Krt19* promoter (Figure 3B). However, no *Krt19*-lineage cells were positive for ACTA2 in either normal colon or AOM/DSS tumors (Figure 3B and D). This suggests that, at least in this mouse model of CRC, the epithelium is not a source of ACTA2⁺ CAFs.

Next, to assess the contribution of bone marrow-derived cells to the AOM/DSS tumor stroma, we performed bone marrow transplantation experiments with the use of an Acta2-RFP reporter mouse as a donor. Initially, we validated 753 that, in Acta2-RFP mice that did not undergo bone marrow 754 transplantation, RFP was expressed by fibroblastic cells in 755 AOM/DSS tumors, confirming that the Acta2 promoter is 756 active in this CRC mouse model (Supplementary Figure 10). 757 To perform bone marrow transplantation from Acta2-RFP 758 mice, wild-type recipient mice were subjected to total body 759 irradiation and transplanted with whole bone marrow cells 760 from Acta2-RFP donor mice. Then, the mice were treated 761 with AOM/DSS to induce colorectal tumors (Supplementary 762 Figure 11A). Quantitative polymerase chain reaction for RFP 763 with the use of genomic DNA isolated from the bone 764 marrow of the recipient mice confirmed engraftment of 765 RFP⁺ cells in the recipient bone marrow (Supplementary 766 Figure 11B). Transplanted Acta2-RFP⁺ cells were also 767 observed in the small intestine of the wild-type recipients, further validating the engraftment (Supplementary Figure 11C). However, no bone marrow-transplanted

RFP⁺ cells were observed in AOM/DSS tumors in wildtype recipient mice (Figure 3*B* and *D*). This indicates that, at least in this experimental CRC model, CAFs did not arise via recruitment from the bone marrow, but only from local precursors.

Collectively, our data with 5 distinct genetically engineered mouse models suggest that tissue-resident *Lepr*-lineage stromal cells are a key contributor to the ACTA2⁺ CAFs in AOM/DSS tumors.

Lepr-Lineage Intestinal Stromal Cells Undergo Proliferation and Differentiation Into ACTA2⁺ CAFs During AOM/DSS Carcinogenesis

We next sought to characterize Lepr-lineage cells in the normal colon and AOM/DSS tumors. In the normal colonic mucosa, pericryptal Lepr-lineage cells were preferentially located near the base of the crypts (Figure 3F and G). Leprlineage stromal cells in AOM/DSS tumors exhibited higher ACTA2 positivity than Lepr-lineage stromal cells in the normal mucosa, indicating that Lepr-lineage cells underwent phenotypic conversion into ACTA2⁺ CAFs during carcinogenesis (Supplementary Figure 12A and B). BrdU labeling in AOM/DSS-treated mice revealed that Lepr-lineage cells showed higher proliferation in AOM/DSS tumors compared with the adjacent normal mucosa (Supplementary Figure 12C and D). Single-molecule fluorescent RNA in situ hybridization for Lepr revealed that active expression of Lepr in Lepr-lineage cells was reduced in the AOM/DSS tumor compared with the normal colon (Supplementary Figure 12E and F). Together, these findings indicate that intestinal Lepr-lineage stromal cells undergo expansion and differentiation to ACTA2⁺ myofibroblasts at the expense of Lepr expression during AOM/DSS colorectal carcinogenesis.

Identification of MCAM as a CRC Stroma-Specific Marker That Defines a Subset of Lepr-Lineage Proliferating CAFs.

Lower *Lepr* expression in the CRC mesenchyme could potentially make it challenging to therapeutically target *Lepr*-lineage CAFs based on active *Lepr* expression in established cancers. Therefore, we next aimed to identify a stromal factor that is actively expressed in the CRC mesenchyme as a potential therapeutic stromal target to treat CRC.

As a strategy to identify the most biologically relevant stromal targets, we were inspired by the parallels between cancer and developmental biology.^{24,25} For example, factors involved in fibroblast activation (eg, TGF- β) and inflammation (eg, nuclear factor (NF) κ B) play crucial roles in both

Figure 2. A subset of ACTA2⁺ CAFs proliferate during colorectal carcinogenesis in humans and mice. (A, B) Coimmunofluorescence for ACTA2 and Ki67 in human colorectal samples. (A) Representative pictures. Yellow arrowheads denote proliferating CAFs (ACTA2+Ki67+ cells). (B) Ki67 positivity in total ACTA2+ cells. Three HPFs (×400)/patient, 4-5 775 patients each. (C) Scheme for the experimental course of AOM/DSS-induced colon carcinogenesis and BrdU administration. After the end of the last DSS/water cycle, continuous BrdU administration was commenced once a visible tumor was observed 776 via mouse colonoscopy. T, tumor. (D, E) Co-immunofluorescence for ACTA2, BrdU, and Ki67 in the normal colon mucosa and 777 AOM/DSS tumors. (D) Representative images. Blue and yellow arrowheads denote ACTA2⁺BrdU⁻ and ACTA2⁺BrdU⁺ cells, 778 respectively. (E) BrdU positivity (left) and Ki67 positivity (right) in total ACTA2+ cells. 3 HPFs/mouse, 3 mice each. Kruskal-779 Wallis test followed by Dunn's multiple comparisons test (B) and 2-tailed unpaired Student t test (E): ****P < 0.0001; **P =780 0.0077; ns, P = 0.0857. Scale bars, 50 μ m. BrdU, 5-bromodeoxyuridine; other abbreviations as in Figure 1.

BASIC AT

Gastroenterology Vol. ■, No. ■



962

963

964

1021

1022

1023

1024

1025

1026

1027

1028

1029

1030

1031

1032

1033

1034

1035

1036

1037

1038

1039

1040

1041

1042

1043

1044

1045

1046

1047

1048

1049

1050

1051

1061

1062

1063

1064

1065

1066

1067

1068

1069

1070

1071

1072

1073

1074

1075

1076

1077

1078

1079

1080

carcinogenesis and organ development.^{1,25,26} Therefore, we decided to triangulate the fibroblastic factors that were significantly up-regulated in both tumorigenesis and development compared to adult colonic fibroblasts.

We first sorted fibroblasts using a negative selection 965 strategy. Fibroblasts were selected based on their lack of 966 expression of blood cell markers (CD45 and Ter119), an 967 endothelial marker (CD31), and an epithelial marker 968 (EPCAM) from AOM/DSS tumors, developmental colon 969 (postnatal day 14), and normal adult colon (Figure 4A). 970 Fibroblast markers such as Grem1, Acta2, and Fap were 971 highly expressed in the FACS-sorted mesenchymal cells 972 (CD45⁻, Ter119⁻, CD31⁻, EPCAM⁻), validating their 973 enrichment for fibroblasts (Supplementary Figure 13). 974 RNA-seq from the FACS-purified fibroblasts revealed that 975 342 genes were differentially up-regulated in both the 976 AOM/DSS tumors and the early postnatal colons compared 977 with the normal adult colon fibroblasts (Figure 4B, step 1). 978 Next, we analyzed the prognostic significance of these 342 979 genes by performing survival analysis with the use of TCGA 980 data, resulting in the selection of 46 genes that were 981 associated with human CRC survival (Figure 4B, step 2; 982 Supplementary Table 1). Next, to focus on stroma-specific 983 targets, using our RNA-seq data from normal adult colon 984 and AOM/DSS tumors, we selected 18 stroma-specific 985 genes that were up-regulated in fibroblasts compared 986 with epithelial cells (Figure 4B, step 3; Supplementary 987 Table 1). Then, to examine for genes expressed at the 988 protein level in human CRC stroma, we interrogated hu-989 man CRC immunohistochemistry data in the Human Pro-990 tein Atlas database and selected 6 proteins that were 991 highly expressed in the CRC stroma (Figure 4B, step 4; 992 Supplementary Figure 14). Finally, our immunohisto-993 chemistry data for candidate genes showed that MCAM was 994 the only candidate that was consistently up-regulated in 995 the stroma of AOM/DSS tumors and the developmental 996 colon compared with the normal adult colon (Figure 4C 997 and D; Supplementary Figure 15). 998

Next, we explored the stromal MCAM expression in human and mouse colorectal tissues. Analyses of scRNA-seq from human CRC tissues² and ulcerative colitis samples revealed that the high *MCAM* expression was observed in pericytes compared with other cell subpopulations such as endothelial cells, epithelial cells, and immune cells (Supplementary Figure 16*A* and *B*). In AOM/DSS tumors, coimmunofluorescence for CD31, ACTA2, CD45, and EPCAM showed that approximately 45% of MCAM⁺ cells expressed a pericyte/CAF marker, ACTA2 (Supplementary Figure 16*C* and *D*).

To characterize the cellular sources of MCAM⁺ CAFs in CRC, we performed immunofluorescence for MCAM in the 3 mesenchymal fate-mapping mouse models (Lepr-Cre, Grem1-CreERT2, and Islr-CreERT2 mice). Our data revealed that about 80% of MCAM⁺ACTA2⁺ CAFs were derived from the *Lepr* lineage in AOM/DSS tumors (Figure 4E; Supplementary Figure 17). We also co-stained MCAM and BrdU in AOM/DSS-treated mice that were administered BrdU during carcinogenesis. In keeping with previous scRNA-seq data showing that Mcam was highly expressed by a proliferative subpopulation of CAFs,⁵ more than half of the MCAM⁺ cells were positive for BrdU, indicating that the majority of MCAM⁺ cells arose through proliferation (Figure 4F and G). Collectively, these data indicate that MCAM identifies Lepr-lineage proliferating CAFs in AOM/ DSS tumors.

Increased MCAM Expression Is Associated With CMS4 and Predicts Poor Survival in Patients With CRC

We investigated the clinical significance of MCAM expression in CRC patients. Consistent with the observed upregulation of MCAM during mouse colorectal tumorigenesis, MCAM expression was increased in the human adenomacarcinoma sequence (Figure 5*A* and *B*). Analyses of expression microarray data from human colorectal tissues also showed that *MCAM* transcripts were elevated during colorectal carcinogenesis (Supplementary Figure 18*A* and *B*). Furthermore, scRNA-seq data from human colorectal tissues² demonstrated that, among fibroblast subpopulations, *MCAM* expression was increased in pericytes during carcinogenesis (Supplementary Figure 18*C* and *D*).

Analyses of the TCGA data set showed that the highest expression of *MCAM* was observed in poor-prognosis immunosuppressive CMS4 tumors (Figure 5*C*). Given that TGF- β signaling activation is a defining characteristic of

1005 Figure 3. Proliferating ACTA2⁺ fibroblasts in AOM/DSS tumors derive predominantly from Lepr-lineage cells. (A) Immuno-1006 fluorescence for ACTA2 and EPCAM (a pan-epithelial cell marker) in the normal colon mucosa and AOM/DSS tumors with the 1007 use of fate-mapping mouse models. Yellow arrowheads denote lineage-marker⁺ACTA2⁺ cells. See (D) for quantification. R26, 1008 Rosa26-loxP-stop-loxP; BM, bone marrow; BMT, bone marrow transplantation; GFP, green fluorescent protein; RFP, red 1009 fluorescent protein; TAM, tamoxifen. (B) Immunofluorescence for ACTA2 in the normal mucosa and AOM/DSS tumors in 1010 Krt19-Cre mice (left). Immunofluorescence for ACTA2 and EPCAM in the normal mucosa and AOM/DSS tumor, in a wild-type recipient mouse transplanted with bone marrow cells from an Acta2-RFP mouse (right). (C) Immunofluorescence for ACTA2 1011 and BrdU in AOM/DSS tumors in the BrdU-treated fate-mapping mouse models. Yellow arrowheads denote proliferating CAFs 1012 that were derived from each cellular lineage (lineage-marker⁺ACTA2⁺BrdU⁺ cells). (D) The ratios of lineage-marker⁺ cells in 1013 total ACTA2⁺ cells. 4 HPFs/mouse. n = 3 mice (Lepr-Cre, Grem1-CreERT2, IsIr-CreERT2, Acta2-RFP) and n = 2 mice (Krt19-1014 Cre). (E) The ratios of lineage marker⁺ cells in total proliferating CAFs. 4 HPFs/mouse. 3 mice each. (F, G) Cellular positions of 1015 Lepr-lineage stromal cells in the normal adult mouse colon. (F) Representative pictures. White arrowheads denote Lepr-lineage 1016 tdtomato⁺ cells. (G) Violin plots showing the positions of pericryptal Lepr-lineage stromal cells relative to the adjacent epithelial position. n = 81 Lepr-lineage cells from 3 mice. Scale bars, 50 μ m. Two-tailed unpaired t test with Welch's correction (D) and 1017 Kruskal-Wallis test followed by Dunn's multiple comparisons test (E): ****P < 0.0001; **P = 0.0030 (D); **P = 0.0043 (E). Lepr, 1018 leptin receptor; other abbreviations as in Figures 1 and 2. 1019

1020

10 Kobayashi et al



Colorectal Cancer–Associated Fibroblasts 11

1261

1262

1263

1264

1265

1266

1267

1268

1269

1270

1271

1272

1273

1274

1275

1276

1277

1278

1279

1280

1281

1282

1283

1284

1285

1286

1287

1288

1289

1290

1291

1301

1302

1303

1304

1305

1306

1307

1308

1309

1310

1311

1312

1313

1314

1315

1316

1317

1318

1319

1320

CMS4 CRC,²¹ we reasoned that TGF- β might up-regulate 1201 MCAM expression. In keeping with our hypothesis, stimu-1202 lation of a mouse colonic fibroblast cell line, YH2 cells, with 1203 recombinant TGF- β 1 enhanced *Mcam* transcript levels and a 1204 TGF- β target gene, *Acta2* (Figure 5D). This was rescued by 1205 co-treatment with galunisertib, a specific inhibitor for TGF- β 1206 receptor 1. Consistent herewith, scRNA-seq analysis of hu-1207 man colorectal CAFs,² as well as bulk CRC tissue analysis of 1208 TCGA and expression microarray data, showed positive 1209 correlations between MCAM and ACTA2 expression 1210 (Figure 5*E*; Supplementary Figure 19). 1211

Next, to confirm the clinical association between MCAM 1212 expression and survival, we performed MCAM immunohis-1213 tochemistry with the use of tissue microarrays from our 1214 own independent cohort of 101 CRC patients. Consistently 1215 with a previous paper,²⁷ high MCAM expression was an 1216 independent prognostic factor for poor overall survival in 1217 CRC patients (Figure 5F and G; Supplementary Tables 2 and 1218 3). Moreover, analyses of 4 independent CRC data sets 1219 confirmed that high MCAM expression was inversely asso-1220 ciated with survival (Supplementary Figure 20). Taken 1221 together, these data indicate that high MCAM expression 1222 driven, at least in part, by TGF- β predicts poor prognosis in 1223 human CRC. 1224

1226Genetic Deletion of Stromal Mcam Inhibits1227Colorectal Tumorigenicity and Improves Survival1228via Decreased NF- κ B-IL34/CCL8-Mediated1229Maximum Decreased NF- κ B-IL34/CCL8-Mediated

1230 Macrophage Recruitment

Finally, to delineate the mechanism by which MCAM contributes to CRC progression, we generated *Mcam*-knockout mice by means of CRISPR/Cas9-mediated genome engineering (Supplementary Figure 21A) and colonoscopically injected luciferase-expressing AKP tumoroids into the colons of *Mcam*-knockout and wild-type mice (Figure 6A). In this mouse model, more than half of MCAM⁺ cells were ACTA2⁺ CAFs (Supplementary Figure 21B and C). Consistently with our earlier *MCAM* expression and survival analyses from human CRC, *Mcam*-knockout mice showed

prolonged survival after tumoroid injection (Figure 6*B*). *Mcam*-knockout mice also demonstrated reduced tumoroidderived luciferase signals according to in vivo imaging system, decreased tumor volumes, and colonoscopic tumor scores (Figure 6*C*–*F*; Supplementary Figure 22*A* and *B*). In keeping with this, tumors from *Mcam*-knockout mice showed reduced histologic tumor area and Ki67 labeling (Supplementary Figure 22*C*–*F*).

Immunohistochemistry for various immune cell markers revealed that infiltration of CD68⁺ macrophages and CD11b⁺ myeloid-derived cells was decreased in tumors from *Mcam*knockout mice (Figure 6*G* and *H*). This was accompanied by decreased FOXP3⁺ regulatory T cells and increased CD8⁺ cytotoxic T cells in *Mcam*-knockout mice (Supplementary Figure 23*A* and *B*). In our mouse model, we did not observe alterations in CD31⁺ vasculature density or ACTA2⁺ CAF area by *Mcam* knockout (Supplementary Figure 23*C*–*F*). Normal adult colons from *Mcam*-knockout mice did not show altered Ki67 labeling or crypt density or length (Supplementary Figure 24). This suggests that, in *Mcam*-knockout mice, there are no pre-existing changes in normal colon morphogenesis that lead to the altered tumor size.

Consistent with our mouse immunophenotyping data, gene set enrichment analysis with the use of TCGA data revealed positive enrichment of macrophage/monocyte chemotaxis genes in MCAM^{high} cancers compared with MCAM^{low} tumors (Supplementary Figure 25). We hypothesized that MCAM⁺ CAFs might promote tumor-associated macrophage (TAM) recruitment, contributing to the immunosuppressive tumor microenvironment. To identify macrophage/monocyte chemoattractants secreted by MCAM⁺ CAFs, we first performed differential gene expression analysis with the use of scRNA-seq data from human CRC² and found that 462 genes were up-regulated in MCAM^{high} CAFs compared with MCAM^{low} CAFs (Figure 61). Next, using gene ontologies, we examined transcripts encoding cytokines and chemokines involved in macrophage/monocyte chemotaxis. This analysis identified IL34 and CCL8 as genes with roles in TAM recruitment that are up-regulated in MCAM^{high} CAFs.

1242 Figure 4. Identification of MCAM as a CRC mesenchyme-specific marker that represents a subset of Lepr-lineage proliferating 1243 cells. (A) Experimental schematic for isolating colonic fibroblasts from the normal adult colon. AOM/DSS tumors, and postnatal 1244 day 14 colon. Gating strategy to isolate CD45⁻Ter119⁻CD31⁻EPCAM⁻ fibroblasts by fluorescence-activated cell-sorting 1245 (FACS) is shown for 1 mouse adult normal colon. n = 4 mice each. (B) Strategy to identify a colonic stromal gene up-regulated 1246 in development and carcinogenesis, which is associated with human CRC survival. (1) Venn diagram showing 342 genes up-1247 regulated in AOM/DSS tumors and postnatal day 14 colon compared with normal adult colon fibroblasts. (2) Survival analysis 1248 using The Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA) data set. (3) Using our RNA sequencing data, genes up-regulated in 1249 EPCAM⁻CD31⁻CD45⁻Ter119⁻ fibroblasts compared with EPCAM⁺ epithelial cells, in both normal adult colon and AOM/DSS tumors, were selected. Mean ± SEM. (4) The Human Protein Atlas data were used to select genes whose protein expression 1250 was restricted to the CRC stroma. Mcam is highlighted in red. (C, D) Immunohistochemistry (IHC) for MCAM. (C) Represen-1251 tative images. Blue, red, and green arrowheads denote MCAM expression in the normal adult colon, AOM/DSS tumor, and 1252 postnatal day 14 colon, respectively. (D) The ratios of MCAM⁺ cells in total stromal cells (visualized by means of hematoxylin 1253 counterstaining). 3 HPFs/mouse, 3 mice each. (E) Co-Immunofluorescence for MCAM and ACTA2 with the use of AOM/DSS 1254 tumors from Lepr-Cre; Rosa26-tdtomato mice. Yellow arrowheads denote Lepr-lineage MCAM⁺ ACTA2⁺ CAFs. See 1255 Supplementary Figure 17C and D for quantification and separate channel images. (F, G) Co-immunofluorescence for MCAM and BrdU. (F) Representative images. Yellow arrowheads denote proliferating MCAM⁺ cells. (G) The ratio of MCAM⁺BrdU⁺ 1256 cells in total MCAM⁺ cells. 3 HPFs/mouse, 2–3 mice each. Scale bars, 50 μm. Log-rank test (B(2)), 1-way analysis of variance 1257 followed by Tukey's post hoc multiple comparison test (B(3) and D), and 2-tailed unpaired Student t test (G): ****P < 0.0001. 1258 MCAM, melanoma cell adhesion molecule; other abbreviations as in Figures 1-3. 1259

1225

1231

1232

1233

1234

1235

1236

1237

1238

1239

1240

12 Kobayashi et al

Gastroenterology Vol. ■, No. ■



FLA 5.6.0 DTD ■ YGAST64732_proof ■ 27 December 2021 ■ 5:54 pm ■ ce

1501

1502

1503

1504

1505

1506

1507

1508

1509

1510

1511

1512

1513

1514

1515

1516

1517

1518

1519

1520

1521

1522

1523

1524

1525

1526

1527

1528

1529

1530

1531

1541

1542

1543

1544

1545 1546

1547

1548 1549

1550

1551

1552

1553

1554

1555

1556

1557

1558

1559

1560

Next, to assess whether MCAM could promote IL34 and 1441 CCL8 expression, we overexpressed MCAM in YH2 cells by 1442 means of lentiviral transduction and stimulated MCAM-YH2 1443 cells with recombinant interleukin (IL) 1β , which is known 1444 to induce IL34 and CCL8 expression in fibroblasts.^{28,29} As 1445 expected, $IL1\beta$ -treated MCAM-YH2 cells showed decreased 1446 I*κ*B*α* expression, increased phosphorylation of NF-*κ*B (p65), 1447 and enhanced luciferase signals from NF-kB-responsive el-1448 ements, leading to up-regulation of Il34 and Ccl8 (Figure 6J-1449 L; Supplementary Figure 26). These alterations were 1450 rescued by co-treatment with IKK16, a selective inhibitor 1451 for IkB kinase. We reasoned that MCAM might act as a co-1452 receptor for IL1 β receptor, IL1R1, to potentiate IL1 β -NF-1453 κ B-IL34/CCL8 signaling. To this end, we lentivirally trans-1454 duced YH2 cells with MCAM-hemagglutinin (HA) epitope tag 1455 or, as a control, mScarlet-HA, and performed immunopre-1456 cipitation with an anti-HA antibody. The CO-1457 immunoprecipitation revealed that MCAM interacted with 1458 IL1R1 (Figure 6M). Reciprocal co-immunoprecipitation of 1459 MYC-tagged IL1R1 with the use of an anti-MYC antibody 1460 interaction of IL1R1 verified the with MCAM 1461 (Supplementary Figure 27). In line with our in vitro data, 1462 tumors from Mcam-knockout mice showed lower stromal 1463 expression of *II34* and *Ccl8* (Figure 6N and O), accompanied 1464 by decreased NF- κ B phosphorylation (Supplementary 1465 Figure 28). In human CRC, TCGA and expression micro-1466 array data confirmed that MCAM expression was positively 1467 correlated with IL34 and CCL8, as well as CD68 and ITGAM 1468 (CD11b) expression (Supplementary Figure 29). Collec-1469 tively, our data indicate that MCAM alters the immune 1470 microenvironment and accelerates CRC progression 1471 through, in part, increased TAM recruitment mediated by 1472 IL1R1–NF-*k*B–IL34/CCL8 signaling. 1473

Discussion

1476 In this study, we have shown that about 75% of ACTA2⁺ 1477 CAFs in CRC were generated through proliferation, with the 1478 remaining 25% acquired through new or preserved ACTA2 1479 expression in existing fibroblasts (ie, activation). Most 1480 proliferating ACTA2⁺ CAFs were derived from intestinal 1481 Lepr-lineage stromal cells. These Lepr⁺ pericryptal fibro-1482 blasts are also the chief origin of proliferating MCAM⁺ CAFs. 1483 High stromal MCAM expression is associated with poor 1484 clinical outcomes in patients with CRC. Furthermore, 1485

transgenic knockout of *Mcam* in the colorectal tumor microenvironment limits tumor growth and improves survival by modifying TAM recruitment and immune landscapes. These data suggest that MCAM, a prominent cell surface protein, could prove to be a valuable novel stromal target in the prevention and treatment of CRC.

Several studies have indicated that recruitment from the bone marrow could contribute to CAFs in mouse models of cancers such as gastric and breast cancer.^{8,30} In contrast, one paper demonstrated that no *Acta2*-RFP⁺ CAFs were detected in small intestinal tumors developed in a parabiosis study of an *Apc*^{Min/+} with an *Acta2*-RFP mouse.¹⁰ In agreement with this, we found that no ACTA2⁺ CAFs were derived from the bone marrow in an AOM/DSS model of CRC. To our knowledge, our study is the first to examine bone marrow contribution to CAFs in tumors in the mouse colon. Human studies using secondary tumors (including colorectal neoplasias) developed after sex-mismatched bone marrow transplantation also indicated that bone marrow-derived cells are not a major contributor to ACTA2⁺ CAFs.^{9,31} It is plausible, and indeed likely, that the origins and contributions of CAFs are context dependent, depending on cancer stage, cancer genetics, and organ-specific microenvironment.

Intestinal normal and neoplastic epithelium develop from stem-progenitor cell hierarchies.³² Analogously to this, we have previously shown that *Grem1*⁺ intestinal reticular stromal cells identify connective tissue stem cells in the normal small intestine.²³ Here, our data indicate that the majority of CRC CAFs, however, arise not from *Grem1*⁺ cells, but from intestinal *Lepr*-lineage pericryptal cells. Interestingly, a recent paper found that *Gli1*⁺ pancreatic stellate cells could contribute to approximately half of ACTA2⁺ CAFs in a mouse model of pancreatic cancer.³³ Further research is required to determine the hierarchic or overlapping relationship between *Lepr*-lineage and *Gli1*-lineage CAFs in different tissues in health and neoplasia.

One limitation of the present study is that we were not able to ascertain whether *Lepr*-lineage CAFs display cellular plasticity during cancer development, as has been shown to occur in cancer stem cells,³² or whether they undergo an irreversible "lineage-restricted" differentiation. Given that CAFs are considered to exhibit tumor stage-dependent phenotypes,^{1,34} it is conceivable that *Lepr*-lineage CAFs could adapt to dynamic phenotypic shifts during colorectal carcinogenesis and co-evolve with epithelial genetic events.

1488 1489 **Figure 5.** High stromal MCAM expression driven, in part, by transforming growth factor (TGF) β is associated with poor survival in patients with CRC. (A, B) Immunohistochemistry (IHC) for MCAM in human colorectal samples. (A) Representative pictures. 1490 (B) MCAM positivity in total stromal cells (visualized by hematoxylin counterstaining). 3 HPFs (×400)/patient, 4–5 patients 1491 each. (C) Violin plots showing MCAM expression levels in 4 CMSs. n = 76, 220, 72, and 143 patients (CMS1-4). TCGA, The 1492 Cancer Genome Atlas. (D) A mouse colonic fibroblast cell line, YH2, was incubated with vehicle, recombinant TGF-\$\beta1\$, or 1493 recombinant TGF- β 1 + TGF- β 1 receptor inhibitor (galunisertib) for 24 hours, followed by guantitative reverse-transcription 1494 polymerase chain reaction. Mean \pm SEM. n = 3. DMSO, dimethylsulfoxide. (E) Single-cell RNA sequencing data show that 1495 MCAM transcript levels are positively correlated with ACTA2 expression in colorectal CAFs. n = 1854 CAFs. Solid line, linear 1496 regression. (F, G) MCAM IHC in a CRC tissue microarray (F) Representative images and scoring system. (G) Kaplan-Meier survival curves. Scale bars, 50 µm. Kruskal-Wallis test followed by Dunn's multiple comparisons test (B and C), 1-way 1497 analysis of variance followed by Tukey's post hoc multiple comparison test (D), Spearman correlation (E), and log-rank test 1498 (G): ****P < 0.0001; *P = 0.0124. Abbreviations as in Figures 1 and 4. 1499

1500

1474

1475

14 Kobayashi et al

Gastroenterology Vol. ■, No. ■



FLA 5.6.0 DTD ■ YGAST64732_proof ■ 27 December 2021 ■ 5:54 pm ■ ce

1741

1742

1743

1744

1745

1746

1747

1748

1749

1750

1751

1752

1753

1754

1755

1756

1757

1758

1759

1760

1761

1762

1763

1764

1765

1766

1767

1768

1769

1770

1771

1781

1782

1783

1784

1785

1786

1787

1788

1789

1790

1791

1792

1793

1794

1795

1796

1797

1798

Another limitation of this study is that we have not 1681 determined whether MCAM expression is induced by TGF-1682 β in Lepr-lineage fibroblasts during colorectal tumori-1683 genesis, despite showing that TGF- β up-regulates *Mcam* 1684 expression in colonic fibroblasts in vitro. TGF- β signaling 1685 plays a key role in differentiation of *Tcf21*⁺ hepatic stel-1686 late cells to ACTA2⁺ CAFs, thereby promoting liver tumor 1687 progression.³⁵ Further research is warranted to investi-1688 gate whether conditional knockout of a TGF- β receptor in 1689 Lepr-lineage cells could suppress MCAM expression and 1690 thus attenuate cancer progression in a mouse model of 1691 CRC. 1692

This work also demonstrated that MCAM is an attractive 1693 therapeutic target that modifies the immunosuppressive 1694 milieu through augmenting NF- κ B signaling, key signaling 1695 that defines inflammatory phenotypes in CAFs.^{6,36,37} Excit-1696 ingly, MCAM-neutralizing antibodies show promising results 1697 in restraining cancer progression in preclinical models, 1698 including an AOM/DSS model.^{17,18} Future research should 1699 focus on investigating whether co-treatment of the MCAM-1700 neutralizing antibody and an immune checkpoint inhibitor 1701 could unleash a cytotoxic immune response against immu-1702 nologically "cold" cancers that are resistant to 1703 immunotherapies. 1704

In conclusion, our data show that *Lepr*-lineage intestinal 1705 stromal cells, resident at the pericryptal base in the normal 1706 colon, proliferate in colorectal carcinogenesis to generate 1707 MCAM⁺ CAFs. We also show that MCAM is an important 1708 factor in sculpting the detrimental immune microenviron-1709 ment responsible for driving colorectal carcinogenesis and 1710 the associated poor patient outcome. In the future, ap-1711 proaches to reduce the expansion of $Lepr^+$ pericryptal cells, 1712 prevent their differentiation into MCAM⁺ CAFs, and inhibit 1713 the activity of MCAM-mediated NF- κ B signaling axis in 1714 mature CAFs may all have considerable clinical value in the 1715 treatment of colorectal cancer. 1716

Supplementary Material

Note: To access the supplementary material accompanying this article, visit the online version of Gastroenterology at www.gastrojournal.org, and at https://doi.org/10.1053/j.gastro.2021.11.037.

References

- Kobayashi H, Enomoto A, Woods SL, et al. Cancerassociated fibroblasts in gastrointestinal cancer. Nat Rev Gastroenterol Hepatol 2019;16:282–295.
- 2. Lee HO, Hong Y, Etlioglu HE, et al. Lineage-dependent gene expression programs influence the immune land-scape of colorectal cancer. Nat Genet 2020;52:594–603.
- Kobayashi H, Gieniec KA, Wright JA, et al. The balance of stromal BMP signaling mediated by GREM1 and ISLR drives colorectal carcinogenesis. Gastroenterology 2021;160:1224–1239.e30.
- 4. Ozdemir BC, Pentcheva-Hoang T, Carstens JL, et al. Depletion of carcinoma-associated fibroblasts and fibrosis induces immunosuppression and accelerates pancreas cancer with reduced survival. Cancer Cell 2014;25:719–734.
- 5. Bartoschek M, Oskolkov N, Bocci M, et al. Spatially and functionally distinct subclasses of breast cancerassociated fibroblasts revealed by single cell RNA sequencing. Nat Commun 2018;9:5150.
- Biffi G, Oni TE, Spielman B, et al. IL1-induced JAK/STAT
 signaling is antagonized by TGFβ to shape CAF heterogeneity in pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma. Cancer Discov 2019;9:282–301.
- Rhim AD, Mirek ET, Aiello NM, et al. EMT and dissemination precede pancreatic tumor formation. Cell 2012; 148:349–361.
- 8. **Quante M, Tu SP**, Tomita H, et al. Bone marrow–derived myofibroblasts contribute to the mesenchymal stem cell

1719 Figure 6. Stromal MCAM promotes CRC progression via IL1R1-p65-IL34/CCL8 signaling-mediated macrophage recruitment. 1720 (A) Experimental scheme showing orthotopic injection of Apc^{Δ/Δ}, Kras^{G12D/Δ}, Trp53^{Δ/Δ} CRC organoids (AKP tumoroids) into 1721 the colon. (B) Kaplan-Meier survival curves. (C, D) Luciferase signals from AKP tumoroids were assessed with the use of IVIS. 1722 18 Mcam-WT and 16 Mcam-KO mice. (E, F) Macroscopic evaluation of colon tumors, harvested 3 weeks after tumoroid in-1723 jection. (E) Representative pictures. Dotted lines indicate tumors. (F) Quantification of tumor volumes. 2 injections/mouse, 8 Mcam-WT and 6 Mcam-KO mice. (G, H) Immunohistochemistry (IHC) for CD68 and CD11b. (G) Representative pictures. M, 1724 macrophages as assessed by morphology. (H) DAB-positive areas. A.U., arbitrary units. (I) Venn diagram showing the overlap 1725 of 41 macrophage/monocyte chemoattractant genes identified by means of Gene Ontologies and 462 genes up-regulated in 1726 MCAMhigh CAFs compared with MCAMIow CAFs (scRNA-seq data from GSE132465). (J, K, L) Lentivirus-mediated over-1727 expression of MCAM augments IL1β-p65-I/34/Cc/8 signaling in YH2 cells. MCAM-overexpressing or empty YH2 cells were 1728 stimulated with recombinant IL1 β , followed by WB (J, K) and quantitative reverse-transcription polymerase chain reaction. (L). 1729 Mean \pm SEM. n = 3 each. p-p65, phosphorylated p65. (M) Immunoprecipitation (IP) for MCAM-hemagglutinin (HA) tag with an 1730 anti-HA antibody, followed by WB. A green asterisk denotes the interaction of MCAM-HA with IL1R1. An anti-MYC antibody was used to detect IL1R1 protein tagged with MYC. Blue and red dotted boxes indicate mScarlet-HA and MCAM-HA proteins, 1731 respectively. (N, O) In situ hybridization (ISH) for 1/34, Cc/8, and a negative control probe (bacterial DapB gene) (N) Repre-1732 sentative pictures. Green dotted lines indicate borders between stromal (S) and epithelial (E) areas (visualized by means of 1733 hematoxylin counterstaining). Red arrowheads denote II34⁺ or CcI8⁺ stromal cells. (O) DAB⁺ areas in the tumor stroma. Scale 1734 bars, 200 µm (A), 2 mm (E), 50 µm (G and N). All histopathologic analyses were performed with the use of mice killed 3 weeks 1735 after tumoroid injection. 3 HPFs (×400)/tumor, 1-2 tumors/mouse, 5 mice each group (H and O). Log-rank test (B), 2-tailed unpaired *t* test with Welch's correction (*D*), Mann-Whitney *U* test (*F*, *H*, and *O*), and 2-way analysis of variance followed by Tukey's post hoc multiple comparison test (*K* and *L*): **** $P \le 0.0001$; ** $P \le 0.001$; * $P \le 0.05$; ns, P > 0.05. AU, arbitrary units; 1736 1737 DAB, 3,3'-diaminobenzidine; KO, knockout; IVIS, in vivo imaging system; WB, Western blotting; WT, wild type; other ab-1738 breviations as in Figures 1 and 4. 1739

1717 1718

16 Kobayashi et al

1801

1826

BASIC AT

1836

1837

1838

1839

1840

1841

1842

1843

1844

Gastroenterology Vol. ■, No. ■

1861

1862

1863

1864

1865

1866

1867

1868

1869

1870

1871

1872

1873

1874

1875

1876

1877

1878

1879

1880

1881

1882

1883

1884

1885

1886

1887

1888

1889

1890

1891

1892

1893

1894

1895

1896

1897

1898

1899

1900

1901

1902

1903

1904

1905

1906

1907

1908

1909

1910

1911

1912

1913

1914

1915

1916

1917

1918

niche and promote tumor growth. Cancer Cell 2011; 19:257–272.

- 1802
 1803
 1804
 1804
 1805
 1806
 1806
 1807
 19. Worthley DL, Ruszkiewicz A, Davies R, et al. Human gastrointestinal neoplasia-associated myofibroblasts can develop from bone marrow-derived cells following allogeneic stem cell transplantation. Stem Cells 2009; 27:1463–1468.
 10. Arbite A, John C, John M, John M, Stem Cells 2009; 27:1463–1468.
- 10. Arina A, Idel C, Hyjek EM, et al. Tumor-associated fibroblasts predominantly come from local and not circulating precursors. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2016; 113:7551–7556.
- 1811
 1812
 11. El Agha E, Kramann R, Schneider RK, et al. Mesenchymal stem cells in fibrotic disease. Cell Stem Cell 2017;21:166–177.
 12. Ding L. Marrison S L. Haematanoistic stem cells and
- 1814
 12. Ding L, Morrison SJ. Haematopoietic stem cells and early lymphoid progenitors occupy distinct bone marrow niches. Nature 2013;495:231–235.
- 1817
 13. Zhou BO, Yue R, Murphy MM, et al. Leptin-receptorexpressing mesenchymal stromal cells represent the main source of bone formed by adult bone marrow. Cell Stem Cell 2014;15:154–168.
- 1821
 14. Decker M, Martinez-Morentin L, Wang G, et al. Leptinreceptor-expressing bone marrow stromal cells are myofibroblasts in primary myelofibrosis. Nat Cell Biol 2017;19:677-688.
 15. Corselli M, Chin CJ, Parekh C, et al. Perivascular support
 - Corselli M, Chin CJ, Parekh C, et al. Perivascular support of human hematopoietic stem/progenitor cells. Blood 2013;121:2891–2901.
 - Brechbuhl HM, Finlay-Schultz J, Yamamoto TM, et al. Fibroblast subtypes regulate responsiveness of luminal breast cancer to estrogen. Clin Cancer Res 2017; 23:1710–1721.
 - 17. **Wang Z, Xu Q**, Zhang N, et al. CD146, from a melanoma cell adhesion molecule to a signaling receptor. Signal Transduct Target Ther 2020;5:148.
 - Xing S, Luo Y, Liu Z, et al. Targeting endothelial CD146 attenuates colitis and prevents colitis-associated carcinogenesis. Am J Pathol 2014;184:1604–1616.
 - Zhang M, Yang H, Wan L, et al. Single-cell transcriptomic architecture and intercellular crosstalk of human intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma. J Hepatol 2020; 73:1118–1130.
 - Wu SZ, Roden DL, Wang C, et al. Stromal cell diversity associated with immune evasion in human triplenegative breast cancer. EMBO J 2020;39:e104063.
- 184521. Guinney J, Dienstmann R, Wang X, et al. The
consensus molecular subtypes of colorectal cancer. Nat
Med 2015;21:1350–1356.
- 184822. Torres S, Bartolome RA, Mendes M, et al. Proteome
profiling of cancer-associated fibroblasts identifies
novel proinflammatory signatures and prognostic
markers for colorectal cancer. Clin Cancer Res 2013;
19:6006–6019.
- 185323. Worthley DL, Churchill M, Compton JT, et al. Gremlin 11854identifies a skeletal stem cell with bone, cartilage, and1855reticular stromal potential. Cell 2015;160:269–284.
- 1856
 1857
 1857
 1858
 1859
 24. Reichert M, Takano S, von Burstin J, et al. The Prrx1 homeodomain transcription factor plays a central role in pancreatic regeneration and carcinogenesis. Genes Dev 2013;27:288–300.
- 1860

- 25. Sancho E, Batlle E, Clevers H. Signaling pathways in intestinal development and cancer. Annu Rev Cell Dev Biol 2004;20:695–723.
- 26. Espin-Palazon R, Traver D. The NF-κB family: key players during embryonic development and HSC emergence. Exp Hematol 2016;44:519–527.
- 27. Tian B, Zhang Y, Li N. CD146 protein as a marker to predict postoperative liver metastasis in colorectal cancer. Cancer Biother Radiopharm 2013;28:466–470.
- 28. Struyf S, van Collie E, Paemen L, et al. Synergistic induction of MCP-1 and -2 by IL-1 β and interferons in fibroblasts and epithelial cells. J Leukoc Biol 1998; 63:364–372.
- Baghdadi M, Umeyama Y, Hama N, et al. Interleukin-34, a comprehensive review. J Leukoc Biol 2018; 104:931–951.
- Raz Y, Cohen N, Shani O, et al. Bone marrow-derived fibroblasts are a functionally distinct stromal cell population in breast cancer. J Exp Med 2018;215:3075–3093.
- 31. Kurashige M, Kohara M, Ohshima K, et al. Origin of cancer-associated fibroblasts and tumor-associated macrophages in humans after sex-mismatched bone marrow transplantation. Commun Biol 2018;1:131.
- 32. Batlle E, Clevers H. Cancer stem cells revisited. Nat Med 2017;23:1124–1134.
- 33. Garcia PE, Adoumie M, Kim EC, et al. Differential contribution of pancreatic fibroblast subsets to the pancreatic cancer stroma. Cell Mol Gastroenterol Hepatol 2020;10:581–599.
- 34. Friedman G, Levi-Galibov O, David E, et al. Cancerassociated fibroblast compositions change with breast cancer progression linking the ratio of S100A4⁺ and PDPN⁺ CAFs to clinical outcome. Nat Cancer 2020; 1:692–708.
- Wang SS, Tang XT, Lin M, et al. Perivenous stellate cells are the main source of myofibroblasts and cancerassociated fibroblasts formed after chronic liver injuries. Hepatology 2021;74:1578–1594.
- Erez N, Truitt M, Olson P, et al. Cancer-associated fibroblasts are activated in incipient neoplasia to orchestrate tumor-promoting inflammation in an NF-κB-dependent manner. Cancer Cell 2010;17:135–147.
- 37. Koliaraki V, Pasparakis M, Kollias G. IKK β in intestinal mesenchymal cells promotes initiation of colitis-associated cancer. J Exp Med 2015;212:2235–2251.

Author names in bold designate shared co-first authorship.

Received March 9, 2021. Accepted November 21, 2021.

Correspondence

Address correspondence to: Daniel L. Worthley, MBBS(Hons), PhD, MPH, FRACP, Group Leader, Gut Health, SAHMRI (5 South), North Terrace, Adelaide, South Australia 5000, Australia. e-mail: dan@colonoscopyclinic.com.au, or Susan L. Woods, PhD, Group Leader, Gut Cancer, University of Adelaide, SAHMRI (5 South), North Terrace, Adelaide, South Australia 5000, Australia. e-mail: susan.woods@adelaide.edu.au, or Atsushi Enomoto, MD, PhD, Professor, Department of Pathology, Nagoya University School of Medicine, 65 Tsurumai-Cho, Showa-Ku, Nagoya, 466-8550, Japan. e-mail: enomoto@iar.nagoya-u.ac.jp.

Acknowledgments

The authors thank Kaori Ushida and Kozo Uchiyama (Nagoya University, Japan) for their technical assistance. The mouse colonic fibroblast cell line YH2 was a kind gift from Professor Antony Burgess (Walter and Eliza Hall Institute of

2021

Colorectal Cancer–Associated Fibroblasts 17

1961 1962

1963

1964

1965

1966 1967

1968 1969

Medical Research, Australia). We acknowledge the facilities and the scientific and 1921 technical assistance of the South Australian Genome Editing (SAGE) Facility, the University of Adelaide, and the South Australian Health and Medical Research 1922 Institute. SAGE is supported by Phenomics Australia. Phenomics Australia is 192302 supported by the Australian Government through the National Collaborative Research Infrastructure Strategy program. 1924

1925 **CRedit Authorship Contributions**

1926 Hiroki Kobayashi, MD, PhD (Conceptualization: Equal; Data curation: Equal; Formal analysis: Equal; Funding acquisition: Supporting; Investigation: Equal; 1927 Methodology: Equal, Validation: Equal, Visualization: Lead; Writing—original draft: Equal); Krystyna A. Gieniec, PhD (Conceptualization: Equal; Data 1928 1929 curation: Equal; Formal analysis: Equal; Funding acquisition: Supporting; Anticipation: Equal; Methodology: Equal; Validation: Equal; Writing—review & editing: Supporting); Tamsin RM. Lannagan, PhD (Conceptualization: 1930 1931 Equal; Data curation: Equal; Formal analysis: Equal; Investigation: Equal; Methodology: Equal; Validation: Equal; Writing-review & editing: 1932 Supporting); Tongtong Wang, BSc (Hons) (Data curation: Supporting; Formal 1933 analysis: Supporting; Investigation: Supporting; Methodology: Supporting; Visualization: Supporting; Writing-review & editing: Supporting); Naoya Asai, 1934 MD, PhD (Formal analysis: Supporting; Investigation: Supporting; 1935 Methodology: Supporting; Supervision: Supporting; Writing-review & editing: Supporting); Yasuyuki Mizutani, MD, PhD (Investigation: Supporting; 1936 Methodology: Supporting; Writing—review & editing: Supporting); Tadashi lida, MD (Investigation: Supporting; Methodology: Supporting; lida, MD (Investigation: Supporting); Methodology: Supporting); Iadashi Writing—review & editing: Supporting); Ryota Ando, MD (Investigation: Supporting); Methodology: Supporting; Writing—review & editing: Supporting); Eleino M. There are a supporting; Writing—review & editing: 1937 1938 1939 Thomas, BSc (Investigation: Supporting); Elaine M. Supporting; 1940 Methodology: Supporting; Writing-review & editing: Supporting); Akihiro Sakai, MD (Investigation: Supporting; Methodology: Supp. Writing—review & editing: Supporting); Nobumi Suzuki, MD, Methodology: Supporting: 1941 PhD 1942 (Writing—review & editing: Supporting); Mari Ichinose, MD, (Writing—review & editing: Supporting); Josephine A. Wright, PhD PhD 1943 (Writing-review & editing: Supporting); Laura Vrbanac, PhD (Methodology: 1944 Supporting; Writing-review & editing: Supporting); Jia Q. Ng, PhD (Writing—review & editing: Supporting); Georgette Radford, BSc (Hons) (Writing—review & editing: Supporting); Georgette Radford, BSc (Hons) 1945 1946 (Investigation: Supporting; Methodology: Supporting; Writing-review & 1947 editing: Supporting); Matthew J. Lawrence, MBBS (Writing-review & editing: Supporting); Tarik Sammour, MBChB, PhD (Writing-review & editing: 1948 Supporting); Yoku Hayakawa, MD, PhD (Writing review & editing: Supporting); Sonja Klebe, MD, PhD (Resources: Supporting; Writing—review 1949 & editing: Supporting); Alice E. Shin, BMSc (Investigation: Supporting; 1950 Writing—review & editing: Supporting); Samuel Asfaha, MD, PhD (Conceptualization: Supporting; Supervision: Supporting; Writing—review & editing: Supporting; Mark L. Bettington, MBBS, PhD, FRCPA (Resources: Supporting; Writing—review & editing: Supporting); Florian Rieder, MD 1951 1952 1953 editing: PhD (Writing-review Supporting); Arpaia. & Nicholas 1954

(Writing-review & editing: Supporting); Tal Danino, PhD (Writing-review & 1970 editing: Supporting); Lisa M. Butler, PhD (Funding acquisition: Supporting; Writing-review & editing: Supporting); Alastair D. Burt, MD, PhD 1971 (Supervision: Supporting; Writing-review & editing: Supporting); Simon J. 1972 Leedham, MD, PhD (Writing—review & editing: Supporting); Anil K. Rustgi, MD (Writing-review & editing: Supporting); Siddhartha Mukherjee, MD, PhD 1973 WD (Writing—review & editing: Supporting), Sidena tha Middleige, MD, Fild (Writing—review & editing: Supporting); Masahide Takahashi, MD, PhD (Conceptualization: Supporting; Funding acquisition: Supporting; Supervision: Supporting; Writing—review & editing: Supporting); Timothy C. Wang, MD (Conceptualization: Supporting; Writing—review & editing: Supporting: Association (MD, BD) (Conceptualization: Supporting); Funding 1974 1975 1976 Supporting); Atsushi Enomoto, MD, PhD (Conceptualization: Equal; Funding 1977 acquisition: Equal; Project administration: Equal; Supervision: Equal; Writing—original draft: Equal); Susan L. Woods, PhD (Conceptualization: 1978 Equal; Funding acquisition: Equal; Project administration: Equal; Supervision: 1979 Equal; Writing-original draft: Equal); Daniel L. Worthley, MBBS(Hons), PhD, 1980 MPH, FRACP (Conceptualization: Equal; Funding acquisition: Equal; Project administration: Equal; Supervision: Equal; Writing-original draft: Equal) 1981

Conflicts of interest

Florian Rieder is a consultant to or on the advisory board of Agomab, Allergan, AbbVie, Boehringer-Ingelheim, Celgene/BMS, CDISC, Cowen, Genentech, Gilead, Gossamer, Guidepoint, Helmsley, Index Pharma, Jannsen, Koutif, Mestag, Metacrine, Morphic, Origo, Pfizer, Pliant, Prometheus Biosciences, Receptos, RedX, Roche, Samsung, Surrozen, Takeda, Techlab, Theravance, Thetis, and UCB. The other authors declare no conflicts.

Fundina

This study was supported by grants from the National Health and Medical Research Council (APP1156391 to Daniel L. Worthley and Susan L. Woods; APP1081852 to Daniel L. Worthley, APP1140236 to Susan L. Woods, and APP1099283 to Daniel L. Worthley); Cancer Council SA Beat Cancer Project on behalf of its donors and the State Government of South Australia through the Department of Health (MCF0418 to Susan L. Woods and Daniel L. Worthley and PRF1117 to LMB); a Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research 26221304 to Masahide Takahashi) commissioned by the Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science and Technology of Japan; AMED-CREST (Japan Agency for Medical Research and Development, Core Research for Evolutional Science and Technology; 20gm0810007h0105 and 20gm1210009s0102 to Atsushi Enomoto); the Project for Cancer Research and Therapeutic Evolution (P-CREATE) from AMED (20cm0106377h0001 to Atsushi Enomoto and 21cm0106704h0002 to Yasuyuki Mizutani); Japan Society for the Promotion of Science Overseas Challenge Program for Young Researchers (to Hiroki Kobayashi), Takeda Science Foundation Fellowship (to Hiroki Kobayashi), Greation International PhD Scholarship (to Hiroki Kobayashi), and Lions Medical Research Foundation Scholarship (to Krystyna A. Gieniec).

Transcript Profiling GSF162508

1982

1983

1984

1985

1986

1987

1988

1989

1990

1991

1992

1993

1994

1995

1996

1997

1998

1999

2000